MCNAIR & SANFORD, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

MADISON OFFICE BUILDING/SUITE 400 1155 FIFTEENTH STREET, NORTHWEST WASHINGTON, DC 20005

> TELEPHONE 202/659-3900 FACSIMILE 202/659-5763

CHARLESTON OFFICE

140 EAST BAY STHEET POST OFFICE BOX 1431 CHARLESTON, SC 29402 TELEPHONE 803/723 7831 FACSIMILE 803/72 3222

COLUMBIA OFFICE

NATIONSBANK TOWER
1301 GERVAIS STREET
POST OFFICE BOX 11390
COLUMBIA, SC 29211
7ELEPHONE 803/799 9804
FACSIMILE 803/799 9804

GEORGETOWN OFFICE

121 SCREVEN STREET
POST OFFICE DRAWER 418
GEORGETOWN, SC 29442
TELEPHONE 803/546-6102
FACSIMILE 803/546 0096

GREENVILLE OFFICE

NATIONSBANK PLAZA SUITE 601 7 NORTH LAURENS STREET GREENVILLE. SC 29601 TELEPHONE 803/271 4940 FACSIMILE 803/271 4015 RALEIGH OFFICE

RALEIGH OFFICE
RALEIGH FEDERAL BUILDING
ONE EXCHANGE PLAZA
SUITE 810
POST OFFICE BOX 2447
RALEIGH, NC 27602
FLEEPHONE 919,890 4190
FACSIMILE 919/890-4180

SPARTANBURG OFFICE

SPARTANDENING OF THE SUITE 306 101 WEST ST. JOHN STREET POST OFFICE BOX 5137 SPARTANBURG. SC 29304 TELEPHONE 803/542 1300 FACSIMILE 803/522 0705

RECEIVED

SEP 1 5 1995

September 15, 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Mr. William F. Caton Secretary Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

MM Docket No. 93-107 Channel 280A Westerville, Ohio

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Ohio Radio Associates, Inc. are an original and six (6) copies of its "Motion to Enlarge Issues Against Davis."

Please contact the undersigned in our Washington, D.C. office.

Respectfully submitted,

McNAIR & SANFORD, P.A.

شنو

John W. Hunter

By:

By:

Stephen T. Yelverton

un

Enclosure

B: CATON. 106

RECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

SEP 1 5 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In re Applications of:

DAVID A. RINGER

et al.,

Applications for Construction Permit for a New PM Station, Channel 280A, Westerville, Ohio

To: Administrative Law Judge Walter C. Miller

IM Docket Wo. 93-107

File Wos. BPH-911230MA

through

BPH-911231MB

MOTION TO ENLARGE ISSUES AGAINST DAVIS

Respectfully submitted, MCMAIR & SANFORD, P.A.

By: John W. Hunter

Stephen T. Yelverton Attorneys for Ohio Radio Associates, Inc. 1155 15th Street, M.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 659-3900

September 15, 1993

B: CATOM. 106

No. of Copies rec'd

MOTION TO ENLARGE ISSUES AGAINST DAVIS

Ohio Radio Associates, Inc. ("ORA"), by its attorneys, pursuant to Section 1.229 (b)(1) of the Commission's Rules, hereby submits this motion to enlarge the issues against Shellee F. Davis ("Davis"). The motion is based on the August 31, 1993, hearing testimony of Davis and is filed within fifteen (15) days of the hearing. Thus, it is timely filed. In support of its motion to enlarge the issues, ORA submits the following comments.

In her hearing exhibit, Davis submitted numerous newspaper and magazine articles about her current business, Britt Business Systems, Inc. ("BBS"), and about her business accomplishments (Davis Ex. 1, attachments A-J). Therein, Davis represented that Ben Davis, her brother-in-law, was a partner with her in BBS in 1991 and that he was General Manager and Vice President (Davis Ex. 1, attachments B and E). When confronted at the hearing with these representations, Davis admitted that they were not true and that she had knowingly given the news reporter false information (Tr. 439-444).

Based upon Davis' admissions at the hearing, a substantial and material question of fact is therefore raised as to whether she made knowing and intentional misrepresentations in her hearing exhibit as to her business interests. See, Richardson Broadcast Group, 7 FCC Rcd 1583, 1585, para. 9 (1992), applicant disqualified based upon admissions that she had made false statements.

Although the false representations in Davis' hearing exhibit may not be of decisional significance, the fact that a misrepresentation was made is more important than the facts misrepresented. <u>FCC v. WORO, Inc.</u>, 329 U.S. 223, 227 (1946), even useless and immaterial misrepresentations are disqualifying.

A substantial and material question of fact is moreover raised as to whether Davis' hearing testimony, that she had always been the 100% owner of BBS and that Ben Davis had only been an employee at some time in the past, is actually true (Tr. 376, 388, 424, 426, 431, 433, 437-438). Caught between the conflict in her hearing testimony and in her hearing exhibit, Davis may have falsely admitted that the newpaper articles in the hearing exhibit were not true

based upon the assumption that it would appear better to have lied to a news reporter than to the Presiding Judge.

Another basis to specify a misrepresentation and lack of candor issue is Davis' evasive and candorless testimony as to her income from BBS. See, Richardson Broadcast Group, 1584, para. 6. It took the Presiding Judge considerable time and effort to elicit from Davis this simple fact (Tr. 421-426). Davis had a readily apparent motive to attempt to conceal from the Presiding Judge her total compensation from BBS. The more she makes from BBS, the more unlikely it is that she will sell or dispose of this business in order to fulfill her integration pledge of full-time management of the Westerville station.

Accordingly, the Presiding Judge is requested to specify the following issue:

To determine whether Shellee F. Davis made knowing and intentional misrepresentations in her hearing exhibit and/or in her hearing testimony and whether she gave evasive and candorless testimony and, if so, whether she possesses the requisite character and basic qualifications to be a Commission licensee, and thus whether her application should be granted?

If this issue is specified, ORA requests that Davis produce representative documents showing the ownership of BBS from its founding to the present, including the officers and general managers. ORA also requests representative documents showing the total compensation which Davis has received from BBS from its founding to the present.

WHEREFORE, in view of the foregoing, ORA requests that the foregoing issue be specified against Davis.

Respectfully submitted,

MCNAIR & SANFORD, P.A.

John W. Hunter

Stephen T. Yelverton

Attorneys for Ohio Radio Associates, Inc.

1155 15th St., N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: 202-659-3900

September 15, 1993 020979.00001 ORA.914

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Stephen T. Yelverton, an attorney in the law firm of McMair & Sanford, P.A., do hereby certify that on this 15th day of September, 1993, I have caused to be hand delivered or mailed, U.S. mail, postage prepaid, a copy of the foregoing "Notion to Enlarge Issues Against Davis" to the following:

The Honorable Walter C. Niller*
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
Room 213
2000 L Street, W.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

James Shook, Esquire
Hearing Branch
Federal Communications Commission
Room 7212
2025 M Street, M.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

Arthur V. Belenduik, Esquire Smithwick & Belenduik, P.C. 1990 M Street, M.W. Suite 510 Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for David A. Ringer

James A. Koerner, Esquire
Baraff, Koerner, Olender & Hochberg, P.C.
5335 Wisconsin Avenue, W.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20015-2003
Counsel for ASF Broadcasting Corp.

Bric S. Kravets, Esquire Brown, Finn & Wietert, Chartered 1920 W Street, W.W. Suite 660 Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for Wilburn Industries, Inc.

Dan J. Alpert, Esquire Law Office of Dan J. Alpert 1250 Connecticut Avenue, M.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel for Shellee F. Davis

Stephen T. Yelverton